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Abstract

The diet of the Barn Owl (Tyto alba) in the south-central interior of British Columbia was determined from analysis of 1,424 prey remains recovered from 692 pellets collected between 1990 and 1997. Small mammals, principally rodents, were the main prey accounting for 96.4% of all remains. The meadow vole (Microtus pennsylvanicus) was the primary prey accounting for 95.3% of the owl’s diet. Secondary prey included birds (3.6%) and shrews (1.1%). The predominance of Microtus spp. in the diet of the Barn Owl is consistent with numerous studies that have been conducted throughout North America.

Introduction

The Barn Owl (Figure 1) reaches the northern limit of its range in North America in southwestern British Columbia. Here it is an uncommon resident and breeder throughout the Fraser River valley, and a rare resident and breeder along southeastern Vancouver Island (Campbell et al. 1990). It has been recorded in the southern Okanagan valley (Cannings et al. 1987) and was found breeding there in 1990 (M. Nyhof pers. obs.). Elsewhere in British Columbia the Barn Owl is considered a vagrant, being recorded on the Sunshine Coast (Greenfeld 1998), in the Creston Valley (Butler et al. 1986), the Kamloops area (Howie 2003), at Gang Ranch in the Cariboo-Chilcotin region (A. Roberts pers. comm.), and Fort St. John (Wildlife Data Centre). In recent years this species has been found regularly, but locally, across the southern interior of the province. This may, in part, be due to dispersal of owls from a major nest box program in neighbouring Idaho (see Marti 1992).

Numerous studies on the diet of the Barn Owl in western North America report Microtus spp. as the primary prey item (see Campbell et al. 1987). The purpose of this paper is to determine Barn Owl food habits from pellet analysis at roost sites in south central British Columbia and compare these with other provincial studies.

Study Area and Methods

Pellets (Figure 2) were collected from two widely separated locations, about 220 km apart, in the south-central interior of British Columbia. These included the southern Okanagan valley, at the north end of Osoyoos Lake, and the Creston Valley. The former site consisted of an abandoned homestead set in a landscape of pastureland, riparian thickets, open sagebrush, and marshland. In Creston, owls roosted in low hay and empty grain storage sheds that were situated on the periphery of Timothy fields and shrub-dominated river dykes.

Regurgitated pellets were collected opportunistically from these study sites between 1990 and 1997. Pellets were measured and then soaked in warm water and teased apart to retain the skulls of mammals and the feet, beaks, and feathers of birds.

Results and Discussion

A total of 1,424 individual prey items were recovered from 692 pellets (Table 1). Small mammals accounted for 96.4% of total prey remains while birds comprised the remaining 3.6%. Each pellet averaged 2.5 identifiable prey items. Among the mammals, microtines constituted the most significant portion of the diet (95.3%) while shrews accounted for only 1.1%. The House Sparrow (Passer domesticus) and Northern Flicker (Colaptes auratus) accounted for avian remains (3.6%). The principal prey species was the meadow vole (M. pennsylvanicus) accounting for 95.3% of all pellets. Regionally, meadow voles and birds accounted for 97.7% and 1.5% of the Okanagan diet respectively and 93.9% and 5.7% of the Creston prey items.

The food habits of the Barn Owl, determined by pellet
analysis, has been studied extensively on southeastern Vancouver Island and the lower Fraser River valley in extreme southwestern British Columbia. Here rodents and insectivores made up 98% of the Barn Owl’s diet with the Townsend’s vole (Microtus townsendi) constituting nearly 75% of the total diet (Campbell et al. 1987).

In the Okanagan valley, at the study site listed in this paper, a sample of 100 pellets (211 prey remains – Table 1) collected in spring 1982 from a winter roost showed microtines predominated in the diet (87.6%) with the meadow vole (55.9%) and the montane vole (M. montanus - 19.9%) the most common species. Although the sample size is smaller for the Creston Valley, the preponderance of microtines (93.9%) is consistent with other British Columbia studies.

It is known that Barn Owls can shift their diet seasonally to include more avian prey (Fritzell and Thorne 1984). On the south coast of British Columbia, birds representing 20 species contributed only 1.9% to the owl’s diet (Campbell et al. 1987) while there was only traces in the diet of interior Barn Owls (see Table 1).

The dimensions of pellets collected from the interior study sites (n=150) ranged from 22 to 73 mm long and 13 to 44 mm wide. The mean pellet size was 47 by 29 mm.
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**Table 1.** Percent composition of major mammal and bird prey items in the diet of the Barn Owl (Tyto alba) in coastal and interior B.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prey</th>
<th>Vancouver Island¹</th>
<th>Lower Fraser River Valley¹</th>
<th>Okanagan Valley</th>
<th>Creston Valley³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAMMALS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microtus longicaudus</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[7.1²]</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microtus montanus</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[19.9²]</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microtus pennsylvanicus</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[55.9²] 96.7³</td>
<td>93.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microtus spp.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[4.7²]</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microtus townsendi</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>69.1 - 80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mus musculus</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[9.5²]</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peromyscus maniculatus</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1.2 - 1.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomomys talpoides</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>3.2 - 15.9</td>
<td>[0.5²] 1.8³</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorex spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[0.5²]</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIRDS (TOTAL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Pellets</td>
<td>774</td>
<td>11,013</td>
<td>[100²] 548³</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Prey Items</td>
<td>1,894</td>
<td>28,324</td>
<td>[211²] 1,153³</td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Campbell et al. (1987), ² Cannings et al. (1987), ³ This study.
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“The question is not what you look at, but what you see”
- Henry David Thoreau